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The residents and visitors of Clawson
In 2015, the City of Clawson, in seeking corridor improvement ideas for both Rochester Road and North Main Street, partnered with the Wayne State University (WSU) Department of Urban Studies and Planning to enlist the skills of Masters of Urban Planning students to create an innovative and practical corridor improvement plan for potential inclusion in the City of Clawson Master Plan update.

Clawson is a small bedroom community with areas of commercial and light industrial development. In order to make recommendations, the relationships between economics, housing stock and population demographics were analyzed to assess current conditions. Given the economic and demographic trends, Clawson is ready to implement effective community development tools such as Complete Streets strategies, future land use visions and engagement techniques to enhance and expand the commercial corridors and retain a family-friendly environment.

Rochester Road and North Main Street present opportunities for development and placemaking strategies. The formal adoption of a Complete Streets ordinance would help simplify coordination with future updates to non-motorized and masters plans and also serve favorably when the City is considered for grants and funding requests. Best practices from other cities, both locally and nation-wide, provide implementable Complete Streets strategies to help Clawson manage the transportation needs of residents and visitors.

To help guide long-term visions for Clawson, a future land use plan is a crucial tool to help shepherd development and offers guidance for property owners, developers and public officials in promoting economic viability and maintaining the City’s character. Business attraction/retention strategies, supplemented by effective community engagement, assist in implementing the future land use plan forward into a visually cohesive and economically viable future.

Corridor visions are provided for both Rochester Road and North Main Street; these plans focus on commercial and mixed-use development that not only enhance the visual appeal of the corridors, but builds upon the successes seen in Clawson’s thriving downtown. By upholding existing ordinances (including the adoption of a Complete Streets ordinance), strategic rezoning, facade improvements, and implementing the tenants of good urban design, commercial corridors will thrive in Clawson.

The goal of the corridor visions are to entice developers to underutilized sites and provide an example of feasible projects that are not only economically sustainable, but that contribute to a cohesive and distinct design for Clawson. Site development pro formas and financing models are included for properties along both Rochester Road and North Main Street that are poised to serve as catalysts for other development along the corridors. Roadway improvements, influenced by Complete Streets design elements, are also suggested to enhance the accessibility of multi-modal transportation at some of Clawson’s busiest intersections. Easy, safe access to commercial corridors helps to promote a thriving business community as well as the notion that Clawson is a desirable destination for business owners, shoppers and families.
2.0 Introduction

The City of Clawson, in seeking corridor improvement ideas for both Rochester Road and North Main Street, partnered with the Wayne State University (WSU) Department of Urban Studies and Planning to enlist the skills of Masters of Urban Planning students to create an innovative and practical corridor improvement plan for potential inclusion in the City of Clawson Master Plan update. Through a Capstone project, the students were asked to envision commercial corridors that encouraged economic growth and revitalization while maintaining Clawson’s credo - “Little City with a Big Heart.”

In addition to the application of zoning, design, and real estate development best practices, the WSU 2015 Capstone class solicited input from a variety of stakeholders in the planning process. City officials, employees, residents and visitors were consulted in order to gain a greater understanding of current conditions and visions for Clawson’s future to inform the recommendations proposed by the Capstone team.

3.0 Clawson Today

Clawson is a small bedroom community with areas of commercial and light industrial development. As relatively small city with an area of 2.2 square miles, it is important to both City officials and residents that an environment of small, local businesses and a family-friendly atmosphere is preserved amidst the large commercial and entertainment development expanding within neighboring communities as Clawson celebrates its 75th anniversary with a Diamond Jubilee.

Based on 2015 Niche.com survey, Clawson was ranked 45th Best Suburb to Raise a Family in Michigan. Niche.com ranks US suburbs based on age, demographics, school ratings, crime rates, access to affordable housing, childcare, libraries and grocery stores. A high ranking indicates that a suburb attracts young families with good schools and safe community.

Recently, Clawson has undergone economic redevelopment in the downtown area located along the intersection of Main Street and 14 Mile. New bars, restaurants and small shops have opened, attracting a younger customer base. The expansion of brick-paver sidewalks allows for outdoor seating at the new eateries with ample space for friends and families to meet, mingle and enjoy the downtown atmosphere.

However, Rochester Road does not have the same charm as downtown Main Street. It is also populated with shops and businesses, but each building is a separate entity and the corridor lacks a cohesive and inviting flow. Unlike downtown, patrons of Rochester Road cannot enjoy an afternoon walking from one shop to another. Rather, they will drive and park. Eliminating foot traffic means there will be a significant reduction in sales that could result in shops closing and an increase in storefront vacancy rates.
**3.1 Current Conditions**

In order to make recommendations, the relationships between economics, housing stock and population demographics were analyzed to assess current conditions. Using data from the American Community Survey (ACS) and the 2010 Decennial Census as presented by the Southeast Michigan Council of Governments (SEMCOG), Clawson was examined in relation to nearby areas as well as within the broader context of Oakland County with a focus on three categories of data: income, housing, and age of population. The following analysis, supplemented by comparative charts, highlights areas of opportunity for setting future goals. This snapshot of Clawson's demographic and economic data creates a framework in which illustrates the need for multi-modal, pedestrian friendly streets and businesses in the City of Clawson.

### 3.1.1 INCOME

While income by itself is not a sign of economic health, it can be used as an indicator to examine changes over time within an area and as compared to other neighboring communities. In Clawson, the median household income in 2010 was $54,639 (ACS 5-year, 2010). This figure decreased by $12,020 between 2000 and 2010 (2000 Census and ACS 5-year, 2010).

While this is a significant change, it is consistent with other households within Oakland County, who experienced a decrease in median household income from 2000 to 2010 of $14,638. On average, households in both Clawson and Oakland County saw a decrease of about 18 percent within this time period. This is consistent with other communities within Michigan and other parts of the nation given the economic downturn and subsequent job loss due to the Great Recession.

Comparatively, in the nearby community of Berkeley, the median household income was $65,548 and was $66,390 in Oakland County. While incomes are higher in these geographies, the differences are not as significant as one might expect. Though the price of housing in Berkeley and Oakland County is higher than housing in Clawson, (explored more thoroughly within the Housing section) the median household income is not significantly different when examined across these geographies.

### 3.1.2 HOUSING

While many factors affect housing in communities, tenure and affordability were found to be the most important elements when assessing change. Through comparisons to similar communities, such as Troy and Berkeley, growth and change in Clawson can be better understood.

### 3.1.3 AGE OF POPULATION

While the age of a community's population is not the only determining factor for community health, a range of age groups can lead to greater economic stability over time given a wide-range of needs at different life stages. This section explores changes over time and predictions for age cohort distribution, including senior and youth populations across different geographies.
Senior and Youth Populations

In Clawson, seniors over 65 years of age decreased by nearly 10 percent between 2000 and 2010 (Census, 2000, 2010). This is not consistent with Oakland County as a whole, which experienced an increase of nearly 18 percent for seniors 65 years and older between 2000 and 2010 (Census 2000, 2010). In Clawson, the population of seniors 65 years and older is projected to increase by over 80 percent between 2010 and 2040 (SEMCOG, 2012). This is consistent with Oakland County as a whole, which is projected to increase its population of residents 65 years and older by over 90 percent between 2010 and 2040 (SEMCOG, 2012).

Projections for youth are quite different for both geographies. Between 2000 and 2010, Clawson’s population of children between the ages of 5 and 17 decreased by over 23 percent and is projected to grow by only 4 percent between 2010 and 2040 (SEMCOG, 2012). In Oakland County the population of children between the ages of 5 and 17 decreased by 3 percent between 2000 and 2010 and is expected to lose about 10 percent between 2010 and 2040 (Census, 2000, 2010; SEMCOG, 2012).

Other Population Changes

Both Clawson and Oakland County are forecasted to experience a decrease in population of individuals between the ages of 25 to 59 between 2010 and 2040 (SEMCOG, 2012). While these changes are significant, the population projections for Clawson overall between 2010 and 2040 are a net increase of 548 residents. This is a net increase of about 4 percent. While this may be considered a small increase in population, it is a larger percent increase than that of Oakland County as a whole, which is forecasted to increase its overall population by just over 3 percent between 2010 and 2040 (SEMCOG, 2012).

4.0 Guiding Principles of Community Development

Given the economic and demographic trends, Clawson is poised to implement effective community development tools such as Complete Streets, future land use strategies and engagement techniques to enhance and expand the commercial corridors and retain a family-friendly environment. The following section describes the theory behind these tools, supplemented by nationwide and local best practices, that sets the foundation for specific recommendations for Clawson.

4.1 Complete Streets

4.1.1 Definition of Complete Streets

Today, many cities are finally making the much needed change of implementing the elements of Complete Streets.

“A complete street is a road that is designed to be safe for drivers; bicyclists; transit vehicles and users; and pedestrians of all ages and abilities. The Complete Streets concept focuses not just on individual roads but on changing the decision-making and design process so that all users are routinely considered during the planning, designing, building and operating of all roadways. It is about policy and institutional change.” Design of Complete Streets should consider the entire right of way to enable safe access for all users. Components include wider sidewalks, street trees that beautify roads and buffer pedestrians against busy traffic. Streets lanes should also be narrower with clear and identified crosswalks and improved access to mass transit.

The current design of both North Main Street and Rochester Road accommodates automotive transportation more than any other mode of transportation. Along Rochester Road, several businesses have excessive parking that needs to be reduce significantly. A substantial portion of the sidewalks throughout the corridor are narrow and inconsistently placed. This forces cyclists to travel in the street or sidewalk, and pedestrians to walk alongside the curb. These issues can be alleviated through the design of safer streets for all users and modes of transportation. With Complete Streets improvements as a priority, North Main Street and Rochester Road will contribute to the continued growth of Clawson, as the “Little City with a Big Heart.”

4.1.2. THE 2010 CLAWSON RESOLUTION

The City of Clawson took an innovative step forward in 2010 when the city council adopted a Complete Streets resolution in 2010. The resolution lead to Clawson’s first non-motorized plan and was helpful in the city’s recent TAP (Transportation Alternatives Program) application to add pedestrian improvements to 14 Mile Road. To build off Clawson’s momentum in planning equitable mobility for all street users, it is recommended that the city adopt an official Complete Streets ordinance. The ordinance is more permanent than a resolution, allows for future coordination with updates to the non-motorized plan and master plan, as well as being viewed more favorably for grant applications and funding requests. Smart Growth America provides a guidebook and best practices for city staff to implement an ordinance in the near future.

**RECOMMENDATION:** Clawson adopts a Complete Streets Ordinance

4.1.3. TRAFFIC SAFETY AND CRASH STATISTICS

Complete Streets improvements stem from safety needs of the community. In 2011, SEMCOG (in conjunction with nearby communities and Clawson officials) crafted a Rochester Road Access Management Plan that called the corridor “a key transition between the more traditional development that occurred in the 1960’s and 70s south of the city, and the suburban style development to the north.” The 2011 plan hoped to improve the corridor with safety, capacity, walkability, aesthetics, business vitality and investment preservation. SEMCOG’s analysis determined intersections and the corridor’s level of service would not only operate at an acceptable level after a four to three lane conversion, but safety would improve along the corridor for all users of Rochester Road.

More recent crash statistics from SEMCOG for Rochester Road show the existing four-lane undivided road had 27 left turn crashes and 33 rear end crashes from 2010 to 2014 (Figure 4.3). 75 percent of the total crashes on Rochester Road were left turn or rear end crashes during that time period (SEMCOG). Though the five-lane North Main Street is wider than Rochester Road, the crash figures were similar (Figure 4.4). The thoroughfare had 18 left turn crashes and 36 rear end crashes from 2010 to 2014 with 73 percent of the total crashes being left turn or rear end crashes (SEMCOG). According to the Federal Highway Administration, “four-lane undivided highways have inherent design aspects that make them susceptible to crashes...and contribute to rear-end crashes, left-turn crashes, and speed discrepancies.”

Studies by transportation agencies have examined the safety benefits of converting four-lane undivided roads to three-lane cross sections with two way left turn lane (TWLTL). Such conversions can lead to expected crash reduction of 19 to 47 percent dependent upon variables such as pre-installation crash history, installation specifics and traffic volumes.

---

**Southeast Michigan Council of Governments. Rochester Road Access Management Plan**

**Federal Highway Administration. Road Diet Informational Guide**
4.1.4 BICYCLE SAFETY STATISTICS

When multi-modal transportation improvements are considered, reduction of potential conflicts between pedestrians and cyclists should be a component. Cyclists move faster than pedestrians and riding a bicycle on the sidewalk creates unsafe conditions for all users on the street. Not only are pedestrians more likely to be hit by a cyclists, riding bicycles on the sidewalk “increases the potential for common intersection collisions.”

Intersections and road crossings are also important to road diets where multiple users interact, whether they be side streets or major thoroughfare. FHA guidelines recommend “any bicycle facility should be carried up to and through the intersection...where right-turn lanes are added, lane markings will be needed to channelize and separate bicycles from right-turning vehicles.”

Examples of multimodal intersections include the following best practices:

- **Bicycle boxes** (Figure 4.9) are a traffic control device at signalized intersections that require motorists to stop a short distance before the crosswalk and allow bicyclists to stop in the area between the cars and the crosswalk. Bicycle boxes give cyclists priority by allowing them to go to the head of the line. A second stop bar for bicyclists placed closer to the centerline of the cross street than the first stop bar. Typically used with crossing treatment (i.e. curb extension) to encourage bicyclists to take full advantage of crossing design.

- **Protected intersections** (Figure 4.10) include:
  - Corner Refuge Island – an island brings the protective barrier from the bike lane into the intersection, like a curb extension for bicyclists.
  - Forward Stop Bar for Bicyclists – the stop bar (painted line indicating where a car/bike should stop) for autos is placed behind that for bicyclists, increasing the visibility of bicyclists.
  - Setback for bike and pedestrian crossing – instead of running alongside auto traffic, the bike lane bends away from the intersection, creating a setback bicycle and pedestrian crossing.
  - Phased Bicycle Signals – signals are phased to give bicyclists lead time in crossing; this is also a practice for “Walk/Don’t Walk” signals that give pedestrians several seconds to begin crossing before autos get the green light to proceed.

- **Combined right hand turn lane** (Figure 4.11) for automobiles that is also a through lane for bicyclists, marked or unmarked, but signed as such.

- **Crosswalks and traffic signals** (Figure 4.12) are key to Complete Streets improvements so pedestrians, cyclists and motorists feel safe and aware of all users of the street. Pedestrian actuated crossing signals ensure that directions are visible to pedestrians and should provide a walk interval for every cycle. Non-visual guidance for pedestrians with sensory restrictions, such as audible walk indicators, ADA compliant crossing ramps and tactile arrows.  

---

1 Federal Highway Administration. Road Diet Informational Guide

---

A possible conversion to three lanes should consider impacts on the equitable mobility and access of all road users along Rochester Road. Inclusion of on-street parking or a bike lane would create a safer buffer between pedestrians, cyclists and moving automobiles (Figure 4.5). A collection of studies by the FHA determined road diets can reduce speed differential and anecdotally can result in lower speed variability. The case studies collectively showed that the 85th percentile are likely to decrease speed by 3 to 6 mph.
4.1.5. EXAMPLES OF OTHER COMMUNITIES

Best practices observed in Complete Streets implementation around the nation illustrate positive economic benefits and community improvements. In 2002, the Village of Hamburg, New York began initial discussions of installing Complete Streets throughout some of its main thoroughfares. The street designs incorporated traffic calming roundabouts and improved sidewalks, which resulted in increased private investment and property values, and decreased traffic congestion. In 2010, the American Association of State Highway Transportation Officials, the American Automobile Association, and the U.S. Chamber of Commerce selected the Hamburg roundabouts as one of the top ten transportation projects in the country.12

Indianapolis, Indiana has what is considered one of the nation’s best urban trails. The idea of the trail was to cater specifically to pedestrians and bicyclists. “The Indianapolis Cultural Trail (ICT) was conceptualized in 2005, with construction beginning in 2007. The ICT’s construction cost of $63 million was funded by the city, government grants, and private donations. The ICT is an eight-mile long urban greenway that includes bike and pedestrian paths linking five of the six cultural districts in the city: Fountain Square, Mass Ave, Indiana Avenue, the Canal and White River State Park, and the Wholesale District.”13 The ICT, in a literal sense, is an urban greenway in downtown Indianapolis. But more than that, it holds the goal of creating a more connected and appealing Indianapolis community. The ICT is unique from other urban greenways in that it holds as central to its vision the goal of uniting the cultural districts of Indianapolis both physically and in the minds of city residents.14

Currently in Ferndale, Michigan, a number of streets are undergoing Complete Streets transformation. The City experienced positive results of a four-to-three lane road diet on West Nine Mile Road. The City implemented new signage and “sharrows” to indicate shared lanes for bicyclists and motorists. Bicycle and car parking line the street’s new on-street parking lanes, which have been paved with a porous material that allows water to soak right into the ground. Curb bumpouts and mid-block crossings have also been placed on West Nine Mile as traffic calming measures. Aside from making motorists aware of other street users, the crossings offer pedestrians and wheelchair users a convenient way to cross traffic without going to an intersection.15

The future land use plan is one of the most important documents a municipality can utilize as a tool to help guide future development. The future land use plan offers guidance for property owners, developers and public officials for the appropriate placement of specific land use within a long term vision. Corridor plans are sub-areas of the future land use plan that require great detail of review, in this instance Rochester Road and North Main Street corridors. The future land use plan identifies the Rochester Road corridor for local business, multiple-family residential and industrial land uses. The majority of Rochester Road corridor plan parallels the current zoning of Rochester Road, barring a few deviations.

The corridor plan for North Main Street varies greater from the current zoning than Rochester Road. The future land use plan designates the area north of the Central Business District on North Main as a significant Public/Quasi Public space including recreational/open space, limited areas of office, multiple family and general business land uses. In order for the city of Clawson to implement the goals and visions of the future land use plan, it is important that city officials review the future land use plan during site plan review, during review and discussion of possible amendments to the zoning ordinance, and determining an economic development strategy. Significant changes to current policies are recommended to promote a walkable and livable city through the implementation of Complete Streets policies, identifying goals and visions for the community, ordinance amendments, and proposing civic engagement opportunities for public input, all of which should be coordinated in the future land use plan. Criteria such as walkability, density, business attraction and retention, and safety and efficient uses of land serve as principles to guide future land use recommendations.

**RECOMMENDATION:** Clawson adopts significant changes to current policies to promote a walkable and livable city through the implementation of Complete Streets policies, identifying goals and visions for the community, ordinance amendments, and proposing civic engagement opportunities for public input.

### Walkability

The future land use vision for Rochester Road and North Main required a walkability component which can be implemented through the tenants of Complete Streets. The corridor plan regarding land use required future development to establish narrower building setbacks. Reducing the distance from the public street to the building footprint can enhance the streetscape, reduce traffic speeds and allow for pedestrian access only at building frontage. In addition, a walkable community allows for creative design in commercial and retail spaces. Walkability also promotes aesthetic qualities that businesses and property owners can implement in building design and non-motorized networks. Another component to walkability is the safety for motorists and non-motorized users. This includes determining access management practices that incorporate safe travel for motorist on existing roadways in Clawson. The future land use plan should recognize traffic patterns and parking demands for safe and efficient vehicular movement.

### Density

Overall density should be increased in areas of commercial/retail/mixed-use and reduced in areas of parks/open space/residential. A higher form of density will enable the land use to be more efficient. Increased density also enables Clawson to preserve lands that are lower density used for residential and open spaces. Current land use practices in Clawson provide multiple family residential areas at a higher density, located adjacent to major thoroughfares and near commercial and industrial uses. Continued efforts should be implemented to maintain higher density to current zoning locations. The preservation of low density single family residential area maintains Clawson’s characteristic of a bedroom community.

### Business Attraction and Retention

The future land use plan should assist in the goals and policies to help promote economic development through business retention and attraction. In order for the corridor plan to become successful, a future land use design concept can attract businesses to a specific locations which are conducive for growth and specialized industries. Although business retention is subject to market demands, Clawson should plan for adequate space that can support uses that comply with the city’s characteristics. The future land use plan should continue to recognize locations that meet (or do not meet) market demands with implementation focused on adaptive re-use.

### Safety and Efficient Uses of Land

Safety is closely related to the efficient uses of land, by which the land proposed is the highest and best use of land. Establishing efficient land uses reduces infrastructure costs, promotes the public health, safety and welfare, enhances the character of the community and reduces nonconformities.
4.3 Community Engagement

In addition to well-researched strategies and design best practices, a crucial element to community planning is feedback from residents and visitors. These community voices help to guide planners and city officials towards successful plan implementation. Through two engagement opportunities, participants were able to provide feedback on their thoughts on two issues: biking and businesses along the two study corridors in Clawson. At the July 19, 2015 Clawson Farmers Market, approximately 100 people answered two questions about Clawson:

1) What businesses would you like to see in Clawson?
2) What is the best use of a vacant space in your community?

Table 4.1 - Desired Businesses in Clawson
- Bagel shop
- Dessert Oasis
- Downtown bistro
- Fruit market
- Old Country store
- Community garden
- Fewer salons
- Better business neighbors
- More restaurants such as: 
  - Vinsetta Garage, Imperial, Public House
- Bike shop (3 votes)
- Stores for kids
- Dog park (3 votes)
- Community/youth theater
- Vegetarian/vegan restaurant
- Fewer dog groomers
- Starbucks
- Specialty foods (e.g. hydroponics/acquaculture)
- Grocery store at Livernois & Maple

Table 4.2 - Best Use of Vacant Space
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suggested Uses of Vacant Space</th>
<th># of Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Splash Pad</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Truck Park</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Garden</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog Park</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit Market</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking Path</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Participants wrote their business suggestions on sticky notes and placed them on a board and/or voted for their preferred use of vacant space with colored stickers (five votes per individual). A summary of the responses are provided in Tables 4.1 and 4.2.

Additionally, a survey about complete streets and commercial space use was also administered via tablet. In order to engage the community more widely, the survey was also available online from July 19 - 26 and promoted by the City of Clawson Facebook page. The survey aimed to gauge participants comfort with implementing Complete Streets strategies as well as use of land along the study corridors; 209 users participated in the online survey. Overwhelmingly, participants expressed preference in having activated as opposed to vacant spaces. People generally feel safe when driving on Rochester Road and 14 Mile and feel that bicycle lanes would be an asset to the community in terms of safety and accessibility. Participants were interested in preserving a small-town feel in Clawson with more restaurants, opportunities for youth (such as shops that cater to children, youth theater, splash pads, etc.), and balancing the need for amenities with the desire to promote small and local businesses. For survey questions and results, please refer to Appendix A.

5.0 Rochester Road Corridor

The Rochester Road study area was defined as Rochester Road from 14 Mile north to the City of Troy boundary near the E. Elmwood and Badder intersection. The corridor currently is zoned for B-3 General Business, B-1 Local Business, RM-1 Multiple Family Low Rise Residential, and I-1 Limited Industrial. The future land use map indicates similar uses with only a few variations. Several parcels zoned vehicular parking district were zoned as Industrial and several multiple family parcels were designate at local business in the future land use map.

5.1 Existing Conditions

The Rochester Road corridor contains three key components that will have the potential to transform Rochester Road into an attractive and busy corridor:
- There is a well-defined transition zone from commercial uses to residential and then finally industrial at the northern portion of Rochester Road.
- Enough space is available for infill development and redevelopment to incorporate both the tenants of smart growth and Complete Streets.
- Recent pedestrian improvements, including ADA compliant crosswalk curb ramps and a pedestrian actuated signal at Goodale Street are a great start in transforming Rochester Road into a walkable corridor.

Figure 5.1 - Current Site Conditions on Rochester Road (Site 1)
Source: Xiao Zhou
The future vision of Rochester Road corridor consists of transforming Rochester Road into a walkable corridor with protected bicycle lanes and mixed-use developments (Figure 5.4).

The corridor has potential for infill developments, with the major opportunity at the current CVS facility at 110 North Rochester Road (Suite 1, see Figure 5.2). Currently the site contains excessive parking, expansive setbacks, a dangerous access management system and aesthetic failures. It is recommend that Clawson should promote the site as infill development of a two story mixed-use structure with retail space at ground level and office/commercial space at the second level. Good urban design should be incorporated in the building façade, which includes exposed brick, large clear windows, creative signage, well-maintained and aesthetically pleasing streetscape with pedestrian facilities, and the elimination of access driveways on Rochester Road (see Figure 5.3). Parking spaces will be designated in the rear of site, with primary access coming off 14 Mile Road. Vehicular circulation will be provided as a redesigned parking lot will encourage better traffic movement.
Another infill development opportunity is also available at the vacant lot (parcel #20-34-402-004) located just to the north of the current Royal Oak Plumbing Site at 806 North Rochester Road (Site 2, see Figure 5.5).

The site appears to have been vacant for an extended period of time. A viable development would be a two-story building with rear parking, delivery space and a common access drive connected to the adjacent businesses to the north with a pleasing landscape design (see Figure 5.6). The site is designed for a creative industrial use that requires enough space for manufacturing, packaging and shipping of products, but does not infringe on adjacent residential dwellings to the east with potential externalities. The building will allow for minimal parking and enough maneuvering space for deliveries and vehicular circulation.

Figure 5.5 - Current Site Conditions, 806 N. Rochester Road (Site 2)
Source: Google Street View, 2012

Figure 5.6 - Site 2 Proposal, 806 N. Rochester Road
Source: Xiao Zhou

5.3 Zoning Recommendations

Sec. 34-661 of the City of Clawson Zoning Ordinances states that front yard setbacks in the B-3 General Business and B-1 Local Business districts is 0 feet. During site plan review, developers must bring the front wall of all structures at the current address of 110 North Rochester within at least 15 feet of Rochester Road. This recommendation should also be encouraged with all commercial sites in the B-1 and B-3 zoning districts in Rochester Road for developments and redevelopments during site plan review. Reducing the expansive setback distance will create a corridor that is more enticing for pedestrians, cyclists and other non-motorized users. Building height regulations shall remain intact of 30 feet (or 2 ½ stories). By enforcing these regulations, the City will establish smart growth tactics of increased density, activating the street. In addition during the site plan review process, it is recommended that the City require shared parking agreements between all of the building owners to eliminate excessive parking and impervious surfaces. Division 14 of the zoning ordinance shall be amended to permit mixed-uses in the B-3 General Business District, either by right or by special use. The permission of mixed use will attract developers to the site and create an active corridor site, accompanying the commercial uses along the west side of Rochester Road.

Sec. 34-981 refers to the Vehicular Parking District. The Vehicular Parking district severely limits possible development due to the strict zoning regulations. It is recommended that the City rezone parcel #20-34-402-004 to I-1 Limited Industrial, allowing for light manufacturing, commercial use. The rezoning recommendation is consistent with the future land use plan, avoids current spot zoning, and eliminates blighted property. The elimination of blight promotes the pride of ownership, maintains or increases property values, achieves code compliance and encourages development.

The majority of the corridor will incorporate the tenets of Complete Streets policies, allowing for a street that provides opportunity for users of all ages to enjoy Rochester Road. This will correlate smoothly with the existing multiple family dwellings located throughout Rochester Road. Many of the residents are children and the elderly who are not completely reliant on personal vehicles and would benefit from such design. In addition, a creative façade agreement during the site plan review process with developers can fortify an aesthetically pleasing corridor.

5.4 Complete Streets Improvements

To incorporate equitable transportation and mobility improvements for all users of Rochester Road, it is recommended that the City of Clawson implement key elements of Complete Streets. This includes a four to three lane conversion from East 14 Mile Rd to Elmwood Ave to increase safety for all users. The project would include two north and southbound ten foot wide traffic lanes, one ten foot wide center turn lane and two five foot wide buffered bicycle lanes (see Figure 5.7).

The recommended conversion will provide a safer and more consistent level of service due to reduction of left turn backups and rear end crashes. On-street bicycle lane markings and signage will provide cyclists an improved experience that will also make drivers more aware along the corridor. Existing bicycle route signage will be updated to note destinations within Clawson (such as library, parks, schools, etc.) and will include the amount of time it will take to arrive by bicycle.

Figure 5.7 - Complete Streets Improvements
Pedestrians will benefit with streetscape improvements to correct the winding sidewalks, more street trees and placement of mid-block refuge islands at key crossing areas (see Figure 5.8). Sidewalks should allow for a minimum width of five feet to allow two people to walk side by side comfortably. Street trees will increase tree canopy in the district, contribute to groundwater recharge, and provide a safety buffer for pedestrians to traverse Rochester Road comfortably. Mid-block refuge islands will enhance crossing treatments at segments where Clawson residents have a desire to walk, but are inhibited by traffic. This traffic calming measure should be implemented after a pedestrian tracking study to determine the most utilized portions of Rochester Road.

As referenced earlier, there are a number of intersection treatments that are safer for multimodal transportation. The intersection at Rochester Road and 14 Mile Road measures 80 feet wide, which is wider than the rest of the right of way in the corridor. This would allow Clawson to carry through the three-lane conversion, while giving cyclists safe passage with an additional buffer and permitting automobiles to turn right onto westbound 14 Mile Road. Cyclists will also have sharrows (on-street markings) in the right turn lane that will make drivers aware that cyclists are allowed in that lane. This execution encourages smooth traffic flow for cyclists and motorists traveling southbound on Rochester Road and allows for the four to three lane conversion to carry through to the Royal Oak border, if desired.

The estimated cost for the Rochester Road Complete Streets project is:

- High Visibility Crosswalks With Signs: $9,000-$11,000
- Updated Bike Route Signage: $2,000-$4,000
- Buffered Bike Lanes: $24,000-$30,000
- Street Trees: $15,000-$20,000
- Design and Engineering: $15,000-$18,000
- Project Total: $60,000-$85,000*

*Estimated cost does not include improvements south of 14 Mile Road ($50,000-$70,000)

5.5 Site Pro Forma: Rochester Commons
ROCHESTER COMMONS
The corner of Rochester Rd and 14 Mile Road is a pivotal junction in Clawson (Site 1). With a strong retail presence by CVS and an overabundance of land, investment in this corner is attractive. Incorporating more mixed-use retail could create a secondary business hub and further diversify the types of establishments in Clawson.

“Rochester Commons” would cost $14.475 million in building and finance costs which, based on report calculations, could be recouped within eight years with the inclusion of a bridge loan or State match of 25 percent against building cost. Rents are estimated at $16 per square foot for retail which would net an annual gross rent of $380,000 in the first year; assuming a 32 percent cost to income ratio and vacancy of 3 percent. Investors would need to leverage approximately $2.75 million in debt to finance the project, assuming 25 percent match from the State and $286,000 equity, aside from land that is already acquired.

Mortgage cost would be $240,000 annually or $20,000 per month. After taxes investors could expect to realize $94,000 in cash flow with an expected cash flow of $315,000 in the tenth year before the sale of the property. This is assuming the sales price is approximately $4.7 million. Investors could expect to realize $1.5 million after taxes in the tenth year. The internal rate of return after tax cash flow is 21 percent and there is a 12 percent return on initial equity that does not include the land.

Based on these assumptions, this project would be profitable for an investor willing to leverage assets to see the project realized. Assuming a strong market, long-term gains would be substantial from a project of this size while short term gains are unlikely. Additional financing information is provided in Appendix B.

North Main Street Corridor
North Main is a primary north-south thoroughfare in Clawson. North Main can be described as a high volume and speed street conducive for motorized travel. Unlike the Rochester Road corridor, City officials have focused on portions of North Main within the City Center district with the adoption of the DDA Master Plan. However, there are several reservations on the future land use along the remaining portion of the corridor, which runs past Broadacre to Maple Road on the border of Troy. North Main accommodates motorists and is under the management of the Oakland County Road Commission.

The zoning map details a large portion of the corridor as O-1 Office Service, consisting of dental, medical and legal offices, public buildings, places of worship, commercial businesses and some vacant parcels and buildings. Northward from East Elmwood the corridor converges into RM-1 Multiple Family Low Rise Residential district with single-family homes and small townhomes. Further north on the west side of North Main, current zoning merges back into O-1 Office Service and B-3 General Business. The corridor transitions into a commercial district largely populated with fast food restaurants, gas stations and parking lots.

6.1 Existing Conditions
North Main consists of the following strengths to help implement the vision of the Corridor Plan:

- Several areas are infill development sites and the existing center city urban design should be drawn upon as a current success. Members of the Planning Commission agreed this urban design is a good plan for the future and they would “like to see the progress move north on North Main.”
- The recent expansion of the downtown walkway, the pedestrian actuated signal at Fisher Court, and streetscape improvements (benches, ornamental lighting, ADA compliant curb ramps) serve as a positive base for our vision for the corridor.
- North Main Street provides ample space to encourage a road diet within the Complete Streets framework.

A five to three lane conversion will require a great deal of collaboration and communication to execute, along with the recommended zoning changes, but progresses the walkable trends implemented previously by the City.
In addition to the street plan, two sites have been described for infill development in the vision for North Main. The priority site on our list was the vacant parcel located at 121 North Main (Site 3, see Figure 6.1). Though 121 North Main is located just outside the study area, it provides a tremendous opportunity for Clawson to incorporate smart urban design. A three-story mixed use structure consisting of retail on ground level and residential upper levels would be a good fit in this location (see Figure 6.3). Parking for the site will be located in the rear with an access drive off Broadacre. Street trees, large windows and aesthetically pleasing façades shall be incorporated in the site plan review stage. The proposed use takes advantage of the ample amount of pedestrian space already existing with the wide brick paver sidewalks.

CLAWSON SQUARE PLAZA

121 North Main provides an opportunity for Clawson to expand upon established public improvements. Private development is frequently subject to turbulent market forces and owner ambitions, thus it is recommend that the City consider purchasing 121 North Main (“Clawson Square”) through the DDA or other funding as a contingency plan. By purchasing the property, the City will have direct control of the use of the site. The site will also complement the existing strengths of the downtown area. This location is feasible for a plaza space, with attractions for residents of all ages. The space is a tremendous placemaking opportunity, consisting of a potential splash pad, seasonal variations of celebrations throughout the year, food vendors and a possible ice skating rink during the cold winter months (see Figure 6.4). In addition, the plaza space will attract attention toward the downtown to support existing businesses and promote economic development.

Further north, 722 North Main has a large vacant parcel currently zoned O-1 Office Service. It is envisioned as a child day care center (see Figure 6.6) or similar uses.
Both 772 North Main (Site 4) and 665 North Main (Site 5) are recommended for infill development. The sites are large enough to provide space for ample parking, construction of a building footprint, secure and buffered outdoor play area and enhanced landscape. During the site plan review stage, the City shall require vehicular access off East Baker to enhance safety component and reduce traffic hazards on North Main. Allowing parking on the side streets will continue to establish the goal of eliminating excessive and underutilized parking lots. The use will serve as a neighborhood anchor for office, commercial, professional businesses and provides a service to many residents of the community. It does not conflict with existing adjacent residential land uses.

6.3 Zoning Recommendations

To meet the goal of rear parking, it is recommended that the City Council amend the zoning ordinance to provide for closer front yard setbacks in the O-1 Office district, reducing the front yard setback from 25 to 15 feet. Parking should be limited to maximum parking requirements, allowing for on street parking along side streets within a few blocks of North Main. Parking should always be directed to the rear portion of the site with access drives off the side roads and delivery space located in the rear. Good preservation of existing landscaping should be incorporated, allowing for a significant buffer or the use of fencing.

The zoning ordinance should be amended to include public plaza space as a permitted use in the O-1 Office district, which coincides with the future land use plan. This will allow for ample opportunity to enable a location to support the city’s slogan of “Little City with a Big Heart”. In addition to the recommended zoning amendment, City Council should adopt a vendor ordinance to permit food vendors to occupy space within the plaza and alongside streets. This will encourage active spaces and promote economic development.
6.4 Complete Streets Improvements

The estimated cost for the North Main Street Complete Streets project is:
- High Visibility Crosswalks With Signs: $15,000-$17,000
- Updated Bike Route Signage: $2,000-$4,000
- Buffered Bike Lanes: $31,000-$36,000
- Street Trees: $15,000-$20,000
- Design and Engineering: $20,000-$24,000
- Project Total: $85,000-$100,000*

*Estimated cost does not include improvements south of 14 Mile Road ($60,000-$70,000)

Similar to the recommendations for Rochester Road, there are grants that Clawson could apply for in the next few years to make the North Main improvements a reality. In the short term, re-striping the street to accommodate bicycle lanes and on-street parking could complete the proposed improvements to North Main. In the long term, some of the crosswalk extensions should be narrowed and street lights on North Main that have recently been upgraded could be realigned. These changes would still allow for pedestrians to have a safe, wide pathway. Adding more pedestrian scale lights and street trees would even further unify the vision of the district. Both options could be paid in part through SEMCOG’s Transportation Alternatives Program grants, but applying for the improvements on Rochester Road first is recommended.

Users of North Main Street would also benefit from equitable transportation and mobility improvements that incorporate Complete Streets elements. A five to three lane conversion is recommended from Elmwood to Broadacre with a mixture of street improvements to enhance safety along the corridor such as high visibility crosswalks and updated bike route signage. From Elmwood Street to Philips Ave, there would be three traffic lanes (center turn lane), buffered bike lanes, extended pedestrian sidewalk and streetscape improvements, such as street trees and pedestrian scale lighting (see Figure 6.9).

From Philips Ave to Broadacre, the street could continue as a three traffic lane road with buffered bike lanes and the addition of on-street parking (Figure 6.10). The on-street parking would encourage business owners to open street level retail because they would have customers closer to their doors. The city could also look to redevelop off-street surface parking if on-street parking relieves stress on city lots. Cyclists would feel safer with a painted buffer and have an additional separation from motorists with on-street parking on their right.

The intersection at North Main St and 14 Mile Rd could be transformed and build off the recent streetscape improvements by making it safer for multiple modes of transportation (Figure 6.11). Existing left turn and southbound drive lanes would remain, but decrease in width to 10’. The buffered bike lane would transition into a shared right turn lane (utilizing sharrows) with automobiles. Cyclists would have the opportunity to turn right on 14 Mile Rd or share lanes with drivers to turn left or drive through the intersection. Once cyclists pass through the intersection, Clawson could replicate the road treatment of North Main St and incorporate bicycle lanes. Signage would be important throughout the corridor to ensure all users of North Main St were aware of the proper usage.
### 6.5 Site Pro Forma: Clawson Square

Activating a long vacant and vital space in Clawson, Clawson Square combines a progressive design with a mixed use that will have both residential and retail. In conjunction with Complete Streets this type of development will produce an activated corner and extend the success of downtown Clawson further north.

This project would pay back in 5 and ½ years assuming the estimates of attached costs. Overall the project would cost $1.7 million in upfront cost with an anticipated brownfield tax credit of 50 percent toward the building cost since the site was a former gas station. To generate immediate and stable income the top two floors could be utilized as residential space with a total of 11 units (six one bedrooms units and five two bedroom units) and 5,000 square feet of retail on the first floor.

Rents are estimated at $1.30 per square foot for residential and $14.00 per square foot for retail. Netting an annual gross rent of $227,500 and a Net Operating Income of $155,700 in the first year, assuming a 28 percent cost to income ratio and a vacancy of 3 percent.

Investors would need to leverage approximately $1.13 million in debt to finance the project assuming a 50 percent financial match and a bridge loan from the state with $286,000 in equity (aside from already acquired land).

Mortgage cost would consist of an annual $73,000 or $6,100 per month. After taxes, investors could expect to realize $59,000 in cash flow with an expected cash flow of $127,800 by the tenth year before the sale of the property. The sales price is expected to be approximately $2 million. Investors could expect to realize $854,800 after taxes in the tenth year from the property sale. The internal rate of return of after tax cash flows is 30 percent. There is also a 20 percent return on initial equity not including land.

Based on these assumptions, this project would be profitable for the investor willing to leverage assets to see the project realized. Assuming a strong market, long term gains would be substantial from a project of this size whereas short terms gains are unlikely. Additional financing information is provided in Appendix C.
The City of Clawson has the opportunity to implement the aforementioned recommendations, but we realize that a coordinated, planned approach is the only way these ideas can truly happen. Much like the City’s Master Plan and Future Land Use process, priority projects should be separated from long-term goals and visions to have an impact for the residents and businesses in Clawson. In the following section, recommendations are outlined in three sections with a focus on community engagement and an implementation timeline:

- Complete Streets
- Community Support for Land Use Changes
- Financing

## 7.1 Complete Streets

While many communities and residents across the country are embracing Complete Streets, organizations that effectively communicate how the concept affects tax-paying residents have the most success. Creating awareness for ideas that could have a positive impact on the quality of life for Clawson residents will not only make residents more informed, but could enhance community support. As Clawson embraces Complete Streets planning, the following implementation tactics should be considered:

- Non-Motorized Plan Website
- Temporary Bike Lane Demonstration
- Safety Town
- Bicycle Racks
- Wayfinding Signage

### NON-MOTORIZED PLAN WEBSITE

Creating a non-motorized transportation plan is a great start to informing residents and businesses how the City of Clawson views future mobility. In the next iteration of the plan, the City should consider a stand-alone website for the plan where people can give feedback directly and interact with plans/maps of Clawson. Ferndale created a stand-alone dynamic website for their non-motorized plan, which showcased priority projects, timelines, and opportunity for public input throughout the plan. Community members continue to engage with the site because the City updates projects in progress and posts safety tutorials for pedestrians, cyclists and motorists.

![Figure 7.1 - Example of a non-motorized transportation plan site](source: City of Ferndale)

### TEMPORARY BIKE LANE DEMONSTRATION

Throughout the non-motorized transportation plan process, Clawson could physically engage and inform residents with a temporary bike lane demonstration. Utilizing low-cost and temporary materials such as spray chalk, traffic cones and signage, the City of Clawson could gauge interest in a future bike lane and residents could learn how a bike lane makes cycling safer. A senior group in Kalamazoo, Michigan recently coordinated a week-long bike lane demonstration that they hoped would eventually connect with a nearby bicycle route (“Kalamazoo Pop-up Bike Lane…”). The group incorporated an online survey that users took after using the temporary bike lane and gathered valuable input regarding the project. Clawson can engage residents and businesses by asking a nearby retailers, such as florists or bicycle shops, to promote the event as part of a “Clawson Bike Week”. Whether the demonstration lasted for a week or weekend, residents and city officials could learn a great deal from this type of execution.

![Figure 7.2 - Kalamazoo, MI temporary bicycle lane, 2015](source: Alex Mitchell, MLive.com)

### SAFETY TOWN

Hosting a Safety Town event at City Hall would be a great way to inform both parents and kids about the new Complete Streets improvements by hosting 2 or 3 meeting throughout one week. The presentation should last no longer than 30 minutes and dedicate the bulk of the meeting to answering resident questions. In conjunction with the meetings, a Bike Week event would connect residents to local businesses who could provide discounts to customers who bike to their establishments during the event timeframe.

![Figure 7.3 - Example of bike week activities](source: Street Blogs, San Francisco)

### BICYCLE RACKS

Encouraging residents to bicycle more on Rochester Road and N. Main Street also means cyclists need more places to park. The City of Clawson already provides 16 bike racks to users, primarily downtown with some also located in parks (Rochester Road does not currently have any bicycle racks). Providing more bicycle racks would increase accessibility for residents and would showcase Clawson’s commitment to multimodal transportation. Businesses would bring potential customers even closer to their door than street or lot parking. The City could work with the DDA to consider a bicycle rack program that provides a funding match for businesses interested in more bicycle parking. The City could also reduce automobile parking requirements for new businesses during the site plan review process if those businesses were to add bicycle racks to their plan.

![Figure 7.4 - Example of a bicycle rack](source: South Windsor Parks and Recreation)
7.2 Community Support for Land Use Changes

Engaging the community can help implement projects that are desired in their community. Clawson prides itself as being a small town bedroom community, with a friendly atmosphere with unique characteristics that distinguish it from other nearby communities. However, even small tranquil cities such as Clawson can produce vehement opposition such as the “large lot ordinance” proposed in 2012. These local units of government must adopt ordinances, regulations and development standards to protect the public health, safety and welfare. However, when ordinances are revised or amended the public shall be provided ample opportunity to provide input. Civic engagement should not occur retroactively, or such amendments and revision to ordinances may create a hostile, adversarial relationship between the City and its residents. In order for Clawson to be proactive in community engagement, the following actions and processes are recommended:

1. Provide ample public notice through social media, literature and signage to inform the public;
2. Civic engagement opportunities (such as design charrettes, strategic work sessions or public meetings held outside of traditional location) to gather information about the community’s values, goals and visions;
3. Encourage concerned citizens and business owners to attend public meetings or submit written comments;
4. Survey opportunities for the community to provide input (e.g. Farmers markets, craft shows, downtown events);
5. Hold annual joint meetings with City Council, Planning Commission, Zoning Board of Appeals, Downtown Development Authority and Chamber of Commerce that are actively advertised.

Through an established community engagement program, Clawson will position itself as a community that is proactive and transparent with its residents. Fortifying the framework at this time will assist the City when ordinances, regulations and development standards to protect the public health, safety and welfare. However, when ordinances are revised or amended the public shall be provided ample opportunity to provide input. Civic engagement should not occur retroactively, or such amendments and revision to ordinances may create a hostile, adversarial relationship between the City and its residents. In order for Clawson to be proactive in community engagement, the following actions and processes are recommended:

1. Provide ample public notice through social media, literature and signage to inform the public;
2. Civic engagement opportunities (such as design charrettes, strategic work sessions or public meetings held outside of traditional location) to gather information about the community’s values, goals and visions;
3. Encourage concerned citizens and business owners to attend public meetings or submit written comments;
4. Survey opportunities for the community to provide input (e.g. Farmers markets, craft shows, downtown events);
5. Hold annual joint meetings with City Council, Planning Commission, Zoning Board of Appeals, Downtown Development Authority and Chamber of Commerce that are actively advertised.

Through an established community engagement program, Clawson will position itself as a community that is proactive and transparent with its residents. Fortifying the framework at this time will assist the City when ordinances, regulations and development standards are proposed, plan revisions are scheduled and placemaking opportunities are available. Promotion of community engagement will further establish Clawson as the “Little City with a Big Heart”.

7.3 Financing

Without financing mechanisms, the suggestions within this report are infeasible. Therefore, several options for financing various stages of implementation are provided based on funding and project type. The financing mechanisms are organized by type and how those mechanisms relate specifically to suggestions outlined within the corridor plan. These mechanisms include grants, special tax area designations and government tax incentives based on project or geography. Appendix D includes application and program contact information. Realistically, the corridor plan recommendations will be resource intensive for the City, business owners and residents. Various incentives, grants, services, and technical assistance programs that can assist in implementation are provided.

MICHIGAN SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL

Michigan’s Safe Routes to School (SR2S) program promotes walking and biking to school using education and incentives. This program offers training, logistical, administrative and technical support to access the safety needs for the routes surrounding K-8 grade schools. First a SR2S team needs to be established that should include: a school administrator, a representative from the local government, parents and a law enforcement officer. The team would assess the safety of walking and biking routes traveled by students and create an Action Plan. The Action Plan will address education, encouragement, enforcement and engineering needs. In early January 2016, Clawson K-8 schools would be eligible to apply for mini-grants to help implement items on their action plan. These funds can be used to help implement the Complete Streets recommendation for North Main Street.

BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT

A Business Improvement District (BID) could be established by businesses along Rochester Road by creating a tax levy on businesses within the BID for business-related improvements or promotional materials. In small communities, BIDs are often used to create marketing materials for the district, improve signage or visibility, improve and standardize landscaping, or any other district improvements selected collectively by the group. Many large cities have established multiple BIDs within their cities. Many smaller cities have now adopted them, including Grand Rapids and small rural communities within Michigan. More information about establishing a Michigan BID can be found here: http://www.michiganbusiness.org/cmn/files/fact-sheets/businessimprovementdistrict.pdf

BROWNFIELD REDEVELOPMENT

Brownfield properties are those in which the redevelopment or reuse of the property may be complicated by the presence or perception of contamination. Revitalizing and redeveloping these properties protects the environment, reuses existing infrastructure, and creates economic opportunities. This program is administered through the State of Michigan’s Department of Environmental Quality. The Remediation and Redevelopment Division provides financial and technical assistance including grants, loans, tax increment financing and free site assessments to facilitate the redevelopment of brownfield properties. This financing mechanism can be used for the redevelopment of sites that may have been gas stations, such as the vacant parcel on North Main, just south of Broadacre. More information on this program can be found here: http://www.michigan.gov/documents/deq/deq-rrd-BRWN-factsheetcontactmap_348474_7.pdf?20150723180911
**CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENT AUTHORITY**

Similar to the concept of a BID, a Corridor Improvement Authority allows the use of tax increment financing to make capital improvements within an established commercial district. It allows communities that already have Downtown Development Authorities (DDAs) to extend similar benefits to aging commercial corridors outside the DDA district or that extend through more than one municipality. East Lansing, Lansing and Lansing Township have come together to create the Michigan Avenue Corridor Improvement Authority in order to make improvements across three municipalities. This may be the most appropriate district improvement plan available for Rochester Road and may extend into the City of Troy, or could be used to create a better connection between Clawson’s DDA and Rochester Road. More information about establishing a Corridor Improvement Authority can be found here: [http://grcity.us/design-and-development-services/Economic-Development/Documents/CorridorImprovementAuthority.pdf](http://grcity.us/design-and-development-services/Economic-Development/Documents/CorridorImprovementAuthority.pdf)

**CROWDFUNDING - PUBLIC SPACES COMMUNITY PLACES**

The Michigan Economic Development Corporation (MEDC) has designed a creative funding mechanism for its Public Spaces Community Places initiative in partnership with the Michigan Municipal League and Patroncity, the Michigan-based crowdfunding platform. The program is intended to create and improve the use of public spaces driven by the desires of the communities within which those spaces are created. The program utilizes a crowdfunding platform to aid communities in raising match-funding for their project. Communities, non-profits and other business entities can submit projects by applying for a Patroncity online donation campaign. Projects meeting fundraising goals can receive a matching grant from MEDC of up to $50,000. This mechanism could be used for the development of a public splashpad (a very popular idea at the Clawson Farmers Market).

Public Spaces Community Places projects include:

- Public Plaza & Green Space Development
- Access to Public Amenities
- Farmer’s Markets, Community Kitchens, Pop-Up Retail/Incubator Space
- Alley Rehabilitation
- Any other place based (or public space improvement) project

Please see Appendix D for more information on the programs listed above as well as other resources, services and technical assistance programs that could benefit Clawson.

The following charts represents the recommended implementation timeline, with each task falling within a suggested range (a short term of 0-3 months, to a long term of 5 years or more). This timeline is not all-inclusive and is subject to change.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implementation Range</th>
<th>Short Term</th>
<th>Mid Term</th>
<th>Long Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timeline</td>
<td>0-3 months</td>
<td>3-6 months</td>
<td>6-12 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Complete Streets**
- Install temporary bike lanes [x]
- Non-motorized plan website [x]
- Update pedestrian crossing [x]
- Bike lane video tutorial [x]
- Complete streets website [x]
- Safety town meeting [x]
- Improved intersections [x]
- Bicycle racks [x]
- Wayfinding signage [x]
- Bike sharrows [x]
- Designated bike lanes [x]
- Streetscape improvements [x]
- Rochester road diet [x]
- North Main Street road diet [x]

**Ordinance Amendments**
- Mandatory shared parking agreements in site plan review [x]
- Permit mixed-uses in B-3 District [x]
- Rezone parcel 20-34-402-004 [x]
- Amend Section 34-661 to reduce front yard setback in the O-1 District [x]
The development of Rochester Road and North Main Street is an opportunity to expand upon the existing strengths of South Main Street and Downtown Clawson. By implementing Complete Streets best practices and following a well-informed future land use plan, the public realm is transformed into an accessible, family friendly environment that leads to private investment and economic development. Implementation of the recommended corridor plans for Rochester Road and North Main Street will establish a cohesive vision for two of the primary commercial thoroughfares and enhance the overall accessibility and vitality of Clawson.

These recommendations support the goals of the City of Clawson Master Plan and provide design strategies and implementation techniques to make the Plan possible. The visions highlighted for parcels within each corridor are supported by practical considerations for ordinance and zoning updates, financing mechanisms from a variety of agencies, low-cost early action projects to engage and inform the community, and a long-term strategies for continued success. The parcel recommendations serve as examples of development options for both public and private investors.

A crucial element to effective implementation and successful communities is an active civic engagement campaign. Through public meetings, events and others ways of collecting feedback, such as surveys and charrettes, the City benefits from having an informed and engaged base community as residents and visitors develop a sense of belonging and connection to the people and places with which they interact. When a city is responsive to and meets the needs of its community, the mutual benefits help both thrive. In this way, Clawson can truly be the “Little City with a Big Heart.”

Source: Sylvia Tatman-Burruss
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Community Engagement
Survey Results

Online survey questions administered from July 19 - 26, 2015 as well as a summary of the results:

Question 1 How comfortable do you feel driving on Rochester Road?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Selections</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Comfortable</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comfortable</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided/No Opinion</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncomfortable</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Uncomfortable</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of the Total</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question 2 How comfortable do you feel driving on North Main Street (North of 14 Mile)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Selections</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Comfortable</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comfortable</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided/No Opinion</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncomfortable</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Uncomfortable</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of the Total</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question 3 Have you ever walked or ridden a bicycle in Clawson?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Selections</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Sure</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of the Total</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question 4 Walking and biking in Clawson is safe for adults.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Selections</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of the Total</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question 5 Walking and biking in Clawson is safe for youth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Selections</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of the Total</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question 6 What are your greatest concerns when you are walking or biking in Clawson?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Selections</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traffic</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visibility</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More Than One Response</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of the Total</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question 7 Bike lanes on Rochester Road would make biking safer on the corridor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Selections</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of the Total</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question 8 Which of the above images is a more favorable use of a vacant lot on N. Main Street?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Selections</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Square</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splash Pad</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restaurant/Pool Trail Park</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of the Total</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question 9 Which of the above images is a better use of vacant space on Rochester Road?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Selections</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Restaurant</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant Space</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Lot</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of the Total</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question 10 Do you feel that there is ample parking for people visiting businesses in Clawson?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Selections</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecided</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of the Total</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question 11 What kind of businesses would you like to see in Clawson?

- Small, artsy businesses, like Saugatuck; More restaurants and bars; restaurants, shopping, coffee shops; large bead store; more businesses at Rochester and 14 Mile; businesses that make people want to stay and linger around; Italian restaurant, more small businesses; meat market, children’s clothing or toy store; family-friendly, non-alcoholic restaurants; more retail; coffee shop and small bakery; children’s indoor play place; family oriented small businesses, not fast food; no more doctor offices or nail shops; bowling alley; book/gift store; small art and music festivals, dance clubs, unique shopping stores; skate club, unique shopping; public garden; something similar to the Rust Belt in Ferndale; more entertainment options, like a comedy club, Menards, Papa Joe’s; shoe stores; focus on the closeness of community, no more bars; Trader Joe’s, healthy fast food options; boutiques; kid-friendly establishments; more local vendors instead of national chains.
### 10 YEAR CASH FLOW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potential Gross Rent</td>
<td>585,700</td>
<td>603,300</td>
<td>621,400</td>
<td>640,000</td>
<td>659,200</td>
<td>679,000</td>
<td>699,400</td>
<td>720,400</td>
<td>720,400</td>
<td>720,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacancy Allowance</td>
<td>29,300</td>
<td>30,200</td>
<td>31,100</td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td>33,000</td>
<td>34,000</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>36,000</td>
<td>36,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Gross Income</td>
<td>556,400</td>
<td>573,100</td>
<td>590,300</td>
<td>608,000</td>
<td>626,200</td>
<td>645,000</td>
<td>664,400</td>
<td>684,400</td>
<td>684,400</td>
<td>720,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before-Tax Cash Flow</td>
<td>141,200</td>
<td>155,100</td>
<td>169,400</td>
<td>184,200</td>
<td>199,400</td>
<td>215,100</td>
<td>231,400</td>
<td>248,300</td>
<td>245,100</td>
<td>1,870,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Income Taxes</td>
<td>47,500</td>
<td>51,600</td>
<td>55,900</td>
<td>60,400</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>69,800</td>
<td>74,800</td>
<td>120,500</td>
<td>121,500</td>
<td>131,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After-Tax Cash Flow</td>
<td>93,700</td>
<td>103,500</td>
<td>113,500</td>
<td>123,800</td>
<td>134,400</td>
<td>145,300</td>
<td>156,600</td>
<td>290,300</td>
<td>289,300</td>
<td>315,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### YEAR 1 OPERATING FORECAST

| Operating Expenses | Management Fee | 0 | Salary Expense | 0 | Utilities | 0 | Insurance | 59,700 | Supplies | 0 | Advertising | 10,200 | Maintenance & Repairs | 71,700 | Property Taxes | 34,000 | Total Expenses | 175,600 | Net Operating Income | 380,800 |
|-------------------|----------------|----|----------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|-------|----------|----|-------------|------|---------------------|-------|--------------|------|-----------------|-------|

### DEBT SERVICE

- **Mortgage Amount**: $3,718,781
- **Mortgage Term**: 30 years
- **Interest Rate**: 5.00%
- **Amortization**: 12 years

### DISCOUNTED CASH FLOW ANALYSIS

- **Anticipated holding period**: 10 years
- **Selling Price**: $4,760,000
- **Selling Costs**: $142,800
- **Purchase Price**: $3,718,781
- **Net Sales Proceeds**: $4,617,200
- **Transaction Costs**: $802,756
- **Mortgage Balance**: $3,024,900
- **Initial Investment Basis**: $4,521,537
- **Before-Tax Equity Reversion**: $1,592,300
- **Mortgage**: $3,718,781
- **- Taxes Due on Sale**: $35,200
- **Initial Equity**: $802,756
- **After-Tax Equity Reversion**: $1,557,100

### Before-tax IRR: 25.49%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BTCF</td>
<td>141,200</td>
<td>155,100</td>
<td>169,400</td>
<td>184,200</td>
<td>199,400</td>
<td>215,100</td>
<td>231,400</td>
<td>410,800</td>
<td>410,800</td>
<td>277,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTER</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,592,300</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>(802,756)</td>
<td>141,200</td>
<td>155,100</td>
<td>169,400</td>
<td>184,200</td>
<td>199,400</td>
<td>215,100</td>
<td>231,400</td>
<td>248,300</td>
<td>245,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### After-tax IRR: 21.00%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATCF</td>
<td>93,700</td>
<td>103,500</td>
<td>113,500</td>
<td>123,800</td>
<td>134,400</td>
<td>145,300</td>
<td>156,600</td>
<td>290,300</td>
<td>289,300</td>
<td>315,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATER</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,557,100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>(802,756)</td>
<td>93,700</td>
<td>103,500</td>
<td>113,500</td>
<td>123,800</td>
<td>134,400</td>
<td>145,300</td>
<td>156,600</td>
<td>290,300</td>
<td>289,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional Renderings of Rochester Commons**
## Clawson Square

### Financing and Additional Renderings

#### 10 YEAR CASH FLOW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potential Gross Rent</td>
<td>227,500</td>
<td>234,300</td>
<td>241,300</td>
<td>248,500</td>
<td>256,000</td>
<td>263,700</td>
<td>271,600</td>
<td>279,700</td>
<td>279,700</td>
<td>279,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacancy Allowance</td>
<td>11,400</td>
<td>11,700</td>
<td>12,100</td>
<td>12,400</td>
<td>12,800</td>
<td>13,200</td>
<td>13,600</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216,100</td>
<td>222,600</td>
<td>229,200</td>
<td>236,100</td>
<td>243,200</td>
<td>250,500</td>
<td>258,000</td>
<td>265,700</td>
<td>266,700</td>
<td>279,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before-Tax Cash Flow</td>
<td>82,600</td>
<td>88,800</td>
<td>95,100</td>
<td>101,700</td>
<td>108,500</td>
<td>115,500</td>
<td>122,700</td>
<td>130,100</td>
<td>129,800</td>
<td>177,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Income Taxes</td>
<td>23,300</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>26,800</td>
<td>28,700</td>
<td>30,600</td>
<td>32,600</td>
<td>34,700</td>
<td>45,100</td>
<td>45,400</td>
<td>49,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After-Tax Cash Flow</td>
<td>59,300</td>
<td>63,800</td>
<td>68,300</td>
<td>73,000</td>
<td>77,900</td>
<td>82,900</td>
<td>88,000</td>
<td>118,000</td>
<td>117,700</td>
<td>127,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### YEAR 1 OPERATING FORECAST

#### DEBT SERVICE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mortgage Amount</th>
<th>$1,135,465</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage Term</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Rate</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amortization</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Debt Service</td>
<td>$6,095.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Debt Service</td>
<td>$73,145.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### DISCOUNTED CASH FLOW ANALYSIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anticipated holding period</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Selling Price</td>
<td>1,946,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selling Costs</td>
<td>58,388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase Price</td>
<td>1,135,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Sales Proceeds</td>
<td>1,887,863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transaction Costs</td>
<td>285,079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage Balance</td>
<td>923,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Investment Basis</td>
<td>1,421,558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before-Tax Equity Reversion</td>
<td>964,263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage</td>
<td>1,135,465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Taxes Due on Sale</td>
<td>109,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Equity</td>
<td>286,093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After-Tax Equity Reversion</td>
<td>854,863</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BTCF</td>
<td>82,600</td>
<td>88,800</td>
<td>95,100</td>
<td>101,700</td>
<td>108,500</td>
<td>115,500</td>
<td>122,700</td>
<td>130,100</td>
<td>129,800</td>
<td>177,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTER</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>964,263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (286,093)</td>
<td>82,600</td>
<td>88,000</td>
<td>95,100</td>
<td>101,700</td>
<td>108,500</td>
<td>115,500</td>
<td>122,700</td>
<td>130,100</td>
<td>129,800</td>
<td>1,107,763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before-tax IRR</td>
<td>37.69%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| ATCF | 59,300 | 63,800 | 68,300 | 73,000 | 77,900 | 82,900 | 88,000 | 118,000 | 117,700 | 127,800 |
| ATER | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 854,863 |
| Total (286,083) | 59,300 | 63,800 | 68,300 | 73,000 | 77,900 | 82,900 | 88,000 | 118,000 | 117,700 | 982,663 |
| After-tax IRR | 30.25% |

Additional Renderings of Clawson Square
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool/Program</th>
<th>Lead Agency/Contact Information</th>
<th>Tool Type</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Spaces &amp; Community Places</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Economic Development Corporation (MEDC); to apply, visit: <a href="https://www.michiganfitness.org">https://www.michiganfitness.org</a></td>
<td>Donation/ Crowdfunding</td>
<td>This creative funding mechanism is available to projects located in Michigan communities which contain a traditional downtown. Projects that could be eligible for a MEDC grant match include streetscape beautification, public plaza development, bike &amp; non-motorized infrastructure, and any other project that activities public space or community place.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT)</td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td>Safe Routes to School (SR2S) is an international movement to make it safe, convenient and fun for children to bicycle and walk to school. When routes are safe, walking or biking to and from school is an easy way to get the regular physical activity children need for good health. Safe Routes to School initiatives also help ease traffic jams and air pollution, unite neighborhoods and contribute to students’ readiness to learn in school.</td>
<td><a href="http://saferroutesmichigan.org/">http://saferroutesmichigan.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Alternative Program (TAP)</td>
<td>MDOT</td>
<td>TAP is a competitive grant program that funds projects such as bike paths, streetscapes, and historic preservation of transportation facilities that enhance Michigan’s intermodal transportation system, promote walkability, and improve quality of life for Michigan citizens.</td>
<td><a href="http://stemcg.org/Portals/0/Documents/Plans-For-The-Region/Transportation/TAP/TAPTractionalTransportProgramOverviewPresentationMarch2015.pdf">http://stemcg.org/Portals/0/Documents/Plans-For-The-Region/Transportation/TAP/TAPTractionalTransportProgramOverviewPresentationMarch2015.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Rehabilitation District</td>
<td>MEDC</td>
<td>Incentive</td>
<td>Encourages the rehabilitation of commercial property by abating the property taxes generated from the new investment for a period of up to 10 years. The new investment must result in improvements aggregating to more than 10 % of the true cash value of the qualified facility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownfield Redevelopment Program</td>
<td>MEDC</td>
<td>Resource, Incentive</td>
<td>Properties that in the not-so-distant past were considered lost to history are now being actively pursued for revitalization. In Michigan, brownfields are considered properties are contaminated, blighted, functionally obsolete or historic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Community Revitalization Program (MCRP)</td>
<td>MEDC</td>
<td>Incentive for business owners</td>
<td>An incentive program designed to promote community revitalization that will accelerate private investment in areas of historical disinvestment; contribute to Michigan’s reinvention as a vital, job generating state; foster redevelopment of functionally obsolete or historic properties; reduce blight; and protect the natural resource of this state. The program is designed to provide grants, loans, or other economic assistance for eligible investment projects in Michigan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Redevelopment Ready Communities (RRC)</strong></td>
<td>MEDC</td>
<td>Service</td>
<td>RRC supports communities to actively engage stakeholders to vision and plan for the future. Based on a set of best practices, RRC measures key community and economic development elements and certifies communities that integrate transparency, predictability and efficiency into their development practices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Main Street</td>
<td>Michigan State Housing Development Authority (MSHDA)</td>
<td>Service</td>
<td>The Michigan Main Street Center staff provides technical assistance and services to communities at three different levels: Associate, Selected, and Master. Each level is designed to assist the community in tackling increasingly sophisticated downtown revitalization efforts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Street Oakland County (MSOC)</td>
<td>Oakland County Economic Development and Community Affairs</td>
<td>Service</td>
<td>Each MSOC is a unique economic development program with a historic preservation philosophy and an emphasis on “sense of place.” They help local governments develop their downtowns as vibrant, successful districts that serve as the heart of the community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure Michigan Business Connect (PMBC)</td>
<td>MEDC</td>
<td>Service, Resource for business owners</td>
<td>PMBC is a multi-billion dollar public/private initiative developed by the MEDC connecting buyers to suppliers of Michigan goods and services. Michigan companies can sign up for free to access the premier business-to-business (B2B) network and be introduced to opportunities to expand their supply chain within the state and access Michigan service providers, including pro bono and discounted services, such as legal, accounting, web development, logistics and human resource assistance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer Resource Network (ERN)</td>
<td>MEDC</td>
<td>Service, Resource for business owners</td>
<td>ERNs are private/public partnerships that improve talent retention and engagement through employee support and training. ERNs are joint ventures combining funds from annual employer member fees, public funds and foundation grants. Most of the funding is targeted toward employee job retention and training activities that provide long term savings for participating businesses. The success of ERNs in improving job retention rates can mean an outstanding return on investment for participating businesses. Companies of any size or sector can form a successful ERN. Businesses that wish to improve their employee retention rate, pay a living wage, embrace the important wrap-around services as part of their business model, and are willing to partner with local agencies and service providers are ideal partners to join an ERN.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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